

MATHONIAH THOMAS

O. P. SOULE

THOMAS AND SOULE  
COUNSELORS-AT-LAW  
BOSTON BUILDING  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

April 26, 1915.

Mr. T. F. Wentz,  
Water Commissioner,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

This acknowledges receipt of yours of the 23rd inst.  
relative to the appointment of Commissioner for the upper Summit  
country. We will take the matter up at once.

Very truly yours,

THOMAS & SOULE

By *Thomas*

MT/FLS

## COMMISSIONERS

BENJAMIN ISAAC,  
CHAIRMAN  
BERNARD N. CHRISTENSEN  
CHAS. H. WARD

# UTAH COUNTY

## STATE OF UTAH

ALVIN V. JON, CLERK  
HENRY EAST, SHERIFF  
P. E. HOUTZ, RECORDER  
ROYAL T. HUISE, TREASURER  
I. A. SMOOT, ASSESSOR  
GRANT C. BAGLEY, ATTORNEY  
C. MURRAY TWELVES, SURVEYOR  
JOHN P. CREER, SUPT. SCHOOLS

Mr Frank Wentz,  
Civil Engineer.

Salt Lake City, April 3, 1915,  
Provo City,

Dear Sir:-

Having read in last night's paper that you have been appointed water commissioner for distribution of the Provo River water, I was wondering if you have chosen your deputies, or if you are allowed any help with this work. If you are, please allow me to place an application with you for a position.

I understand the construction and operation of weirs, current meters and other apparatus for the measurement and registration of water, and can make the computation from field data that may be required.

Although I have had but little practical experience in the measurement of streams, I understand the principles and no doubt can "hold my own" if given the chance.

You will remember I was with Murray-Twelves last year, so a personalization is not necessary.

Trusting you may consider this favorably towards me, I beg to remain,

Very truly yours,

Frank L. Allen,  
517 F. Street,

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Provo, Utah, April 8, 1915.

Frank L. Allen,

Salt Lake City, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Your favor of April 3rd at hand, you are advised this work does not begin until June 1st, and that the appointment is a matter that I do not have full power, I will be pleased to confer with you later.

Yours truly,

---



Stewart, Stewart & Alexander  
Lawyers  
JUDGE BUILDING  
Salt Lake City, Utah

SAMUEL W. STEWART  
BARNARD J. STEWART  
DANIEL ALEXANDER  
KEARNEY K. STEFFENSEN

May 30th, 1915.

F. S. Wentz, County Commissioner,  
Provo,  
Utah.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of April 23rd relative to the appointment of Water Commissioner to assist you in distribution of water and securing such data as will be proper in the determination and adjudication of water rights, is received and is agreeable to us. We are not anxious to incur any unnecessary expense but are willing to pay our proportion of expenses incurred in efforts to make a fair and equitable adjustment of water rights. We, of course, want a man as commissioner who is competent, reliable and would do justice to all parties and who will work in harmony with you and we are willing to defer our judgment to your judgment with reference to the selection of such a man.

Very respectfully,

BJS:S

*Barnard J. Stewart*

Provo, Utah, April 24, 1915.

Mr. T. F. Wentz, Com.,  
Provo River,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir:

Replying to your communication of April 23, 1915, you are advised that with the exception of George F. Taylor any of the men whose names you have suggested as water commissioners in the Upper District of Provo River, comprising part of Wasatch and Summit Counties, would be acceptable to the Provo Reservoir Company.

Yours truly,

*Joseph R. Moulton*  
President.

JRM-MP

**Thurman, Wedgwood & Irvine**

**ATTORNEYS AT LAW**

SUITE 1401 WALKER BANK BUILDING  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

SAMUEL R. THURMAN  
E. A. WEDGWOOD  
ALONZO BLAIR IRVINE

May 4, 1915.

Mr. T. F. Wentz,  
Provo, Utah.

Dear Wentz:

Your favor of the 23rd inst. addressed to the Provo Reservoir Company, has been considered by that company, also the Wasatch Extension Company, which we represent, and these companies have advised us concerning the appointment of a deputy water commissioner for the upper district and it is the desire of said companies that the person appointed be competent and disinterested as between the parties if possible, so that the knowledge and experience obtained by him may be of use in determining with impartiality, material facts in the case. The companies we represent, without meaning offense, seriously object to Mr. Taylor and likewise to Mr. Call, as they feel neither of these men would fill up the measure required as above suggested. We have no objection to Mr. Tanner nor to Mr. Pratt providing Mr. Pratt has had experience in irrigation matters.

Speaking for our client we sincerely hope that you will be able to select a competent man, not objectionable to any of the parties.

Respectfully yours,

*S. R. Thurman* B

7  
SRT